## Discussion of "Quantitative Easing in Joseph's Egypt with Keynesian Producers"

Refet S. Gürkaynak Bilkent University and CEPR

16 October 2014

Refet S. Gürkaynak (Bilkent U.)

Discussion of Campbell

16/10/14 1 / 11

Praise for the paper



<ロト </p>

- Praise for the paper
- 2 What this paper does

æ

3 🕨 🖌 3

- Praise for the paper
- What this paper does
- Things I disagree with

- Praise for the paper
- What this paper does
- Things I disagree with
- Why isn't the world following the model?

• Very well thought, well executed and well presented

- Very well thought, well executed and well presented
- Reminds us that capital/storage makes a difference

- Very well thought, well executed and well presented
- Reminds us that capital/storage makes a difference
- Money puts a lower bound on nominal rates

- Very well thought, well executed and well presented
- Reminds us that capital/storage makes a difference
- Money puts a lower bound on nominal rates
- Storage puts a lower bound on real rates

- Very well thought, well executed and well presented
- Reminds us that capital/storage makes a difference
- Money puts a lower bound on nominal rates
- Storage puts a lower bound on real rates
- "Real" policy can help alleviate that problem

• We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables

- We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables
- In those cases "correct" monetary policy alone, with commitment, can pull the economy out of a liquidity trap

- We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables
- In those cases "correct" monetary policy alone, with commitment, can pull the economy out of a liquidity trap
- If there is storage the world is different

- We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables
- In those cases "correct" monetary policy alone, with commitment, can pull the economy out of a liquidity trap
- If there is storage the world is different
- In that case a deficit financed increase in public storage increases expected consumption tomorrow and hence stimulates consumption today.

- We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables
- In those cases "correct" monetary policy alone, with commitment, can pull the economy out of a liquidity trap
- If there is storage the world is different
- In that case a deficit financed increase in public storage increases expected consumption tomorrow and hence stimulates consumption today.
- Buying private assets or running a current account surplus today implements this policy.

- We usually think of liquidity traps using simple DNK models with no state variables
- In those cases "correct" monetary policy alone, with commitment, can pull the economy out of a liquidity trap
- If there is storage the world is different
- In that case a deficit financed increase in public storage increases expected consumption tomorrow and hence stimulates consumption today.
- Buying private assets or running a current account surplus today implements this policy.
- The international story is especially nice

## • Has one policy maker "Joseph" doing two kinds of policy

< A

- ₹ ∃ ►

- Has one policy maker "Joseph" doing two kinds of policy
  - Following an interest rate rule, subject to ZLB

- Has one policy maker "Joseph" doing two kinds of policy
  - Following an interest rate rule, subject to ZLB
  - Doing QE/JQE

The usual taxonomy of policies have the "monetary policy" and "fiscal policy" groups.

What are the policies in this paper? First policy:

$$i_t = \max\{ 0, \pi^* eta^{-1} rac{ ilde{C}_{t+1}}{ ilde{C}_t} \left( rac{\pi_t}{\pi^*} 
ight)^{\phi} - 1 \}$$

Second policy:

$$Q_{t+1} = (1-\delta) \left( \left( \frac{B_{t+1}}{1+i_t} - B_t \right) / P_t - Q_t \right)$$

## ...is fiscal policy.

• Doing fiscal policy via the central bank does not make it monetary policy.

• Is all about fiscal policy

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 田 ト ・

- Is all about fiscal policy
- It does a disservice to the policy debate by couching the discussion in monetary policy terms

- Is all about fiscal policy
- It does a disservice to the policy debate by couching the discussion in monetary policy terms
- Facilitates fiscal policy maker moral hazard by moving the loss to the central bank from the fiscal authority, where it belongs.

- Is all about fiscal policy
- It does a disservice to the policy debate by couching the discussion in monetary policy terms
- Facilitates fiscal policy maker moral hazard by moving the loss to the central bank from the fiscal authority, where it belongs.
- This is a great paper, making an important point but addressing the wrong policy maker.

Paper argues that proper policy can prevent liquidity traps even with storage

- Paper argues that proper policy can prevent liquidity traps even with storage
- We still observe liquidity traps

- Paper argues that proper policy can prevent liquidity traps even with storage
- We still observe liquidity traps
- Why?

• Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment
    - Huge current account surpluses

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment
    - Huge current account surpluses
- Or JQE may not be sufficient to lift an economy out of a liquidity trap

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment
    - Huge current account surpluses
- Or JQE may not be sufficient to lift an economy out of a liquidity trap
  - Perhaps loss from storage is too large and not enough utility is promised in the future

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment
    - Huge current account surpluses
- Or JQE may not be sufficient to lift an economy out of a liquidity trap
  - Perhaps loss from storage is too large and not enough utility is promised in the future
  - What would happen in a world where there are nonstorable services?

- Either because they do not understand Jeff's argument
  - But Japanese policy looks like it satisfies the Joseph-Jeff prescription
    - Deficit financed investment
    - Huge current account surpluses

• Or JQE may not be sufficient to lift an economy out of a liquidity trap

- Perhaps loss from storage is too large and not enough utility is promised in the future
- What would happen in a world where there are nonstorable services?
- Perhaps we would need too large accumulation of the storable good.

• Very smart model

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

- Very smart model
- Very educative

- 一司

3 🕨 🖌 3

- Very smart model
- Very educative
- Very policy relevant

∃ ▶ ∢

- Very smart model
- Very educative
- Very policy relevant
- Should address the right policy

- Very smart model
- Very educative
- Very policy relevant
- Should address the right policy
- Worth thinking about why there are liquidity traps in the world